

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCI?
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARK'S
Washington, D.C. 20231

| APPLICATION NO. | FII | ING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|------------|------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 09/193,787 | 11/17/1998 | | JAY PAUL DRUMMOND | D1077+1 | 2446 |
| 28995 | 7590 | 09/16/2002 | | | |
| RALPH E. JOCKE | | | | EXAMINER | |
| 231 SOUTH BROADWAY MEDINA, OH 44256 | | | | WORJLOH, JALATEE | |
| | | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | | 3621 | |
| | | | | DATE MAILED: 09/16/2002 | ! |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| - Vi | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|---|--|--|--|
| | | | |
| Office Action Summary | 09/193,787 | DRUMMOND ET AL. | |
| omoc Addon Gamma.y | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app | Jalatee Worjloh ears on the cover sheet with the co | 3621 0 | |
| Period for Reply | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status | 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE | nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on | | | |
| | — is action is non-final. | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under a | ince except for formal matters, pi | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-30 is/are pending in the application | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw | vn from consideration. | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are rejected. | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers | r election requirement. | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine | r. | | |
| 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>4-03-02</u> is/are: a)□ acce | epted or b)□ objected to by the Ex | aminer. | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the | | | |
| 11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on | is: a)□ approved b)□ disappro | oved by the Examiner. | |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep | bly to this Office action. | | |
| 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | aminer. | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | |
| 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign | priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a | n)-(d) or (f). | |
| a)☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of: | | | |
| Certified copies of the priority documents | s have been received. | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents | s have been received in Applicati | on No | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a list | reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | |
| 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic | c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(| e) (to a provisional application). | |
| a) The translation of the foreign language pro | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | 5) Notice of Informal | y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152) | |
| S. Patent and Trademark Office | | | |

Art Unit: 3621

DETAILED ACTION

1. In view of the Appeal Brief filed on June 24, 2002, PROSECUTION IS HEREBY REOPENED. New grounds of rejections are set forth below.

To avoid abandonment of the application, appellant must exercise one of the following two options:

- (1) file a reply under 37 CFR 1.111 (if this Office action is non-final) or a reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (if this Office action is final); or,
 - (2) request reinstatement of the appeal.

If reinstatement of the appeal is requested, such request must be accompanied by a supplemental appeal brief, but no new amendments, affidavits (37 CFR 1.130, 1.131 or 1.132) or other evidence are permitted. See 37 CFR 1.193(b)(2).

- 2. The declaration filed on February 4, 2002 under 37 CFR 1.131 has been considered but is ineffective to overcome the Zeanah et al. reference.
- 3. The evidence submitted is insufficient to establish diligence from a date prior to the date of reduction to practice of the Zeanah et al. reference to either a constructive reduction to practice or an actual reduction to practice. Applicant claims that conception or a reduction to practice occurred prior to July 7, 1996, however, the showing of facts submitted does not support Applicant's claim.
- 4. The declaration is signed by less than all named inventors of the application. A declaration by less than all named inventors of an application is accepted where it is shown that less than all named inventors of an application invented the subject matter of the claim or claims

Application/Control Number: 09/193,787 Page 3

Art Unit: 3621

under rejection (See MPEP 715.04(B)). In this application, the applicant states that he is the sole inventor of at least claims 1-4,6-19 and 22-27 only, however, all the claims (1-20) are under rejection. The facts set forth in the declaration seem to pertain to the subject matter of claims 1-4, 6-19 and 22-27, when the reference were cited in the rejection of all claims (claims 1-30 as discussed above). The declaration, even though signed by fewer than all the joint inventors, must show completion of the invention by all the joint inventors of the subject matter of the claim(s) under rejection (See MPEP 715.04). Since the claims under rejection are claims 1-30, the applicant must show completion of the subject matter of all the claims by the joint inventors since the claims recite different subject matter.

5. Claims 1-30 have been examined.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

6. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 27 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The step recited must be clearly and positively specified. The step must be organized and correlated in such a manner as to present a complete operative method.

Art Unit: 3621

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) do not apply to the examination of this application as the application being examined was not (1) filed on or after November 29, 2000, or (2) voluntarily published under 35 U.S.C. 122(b). Therefore, this application is examined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

8. Claims 1-6, 8-13,16, 22-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 5933816 to Zeanah et al.

Zeanah et al. disclose an automated banking machine (see col. 3, lines 60-62), including an output device, wherein the output device outputs information, whereby a user is enable to perceive outputs from the output device; an input device, wherein the input device is operative to receive inputs, whereby a user is enabled to provide inputs to the machine; a transaction function device, wherein the transaction function device is selectively operative to carry out a transaction (see col. 9, lines 8-14); a computer, wherein the computer is in operative connection with the output device, the input device, and the transaction function device, software executable in the computer, wherein the software includes a browser, wherein the browser is operative to process

Art Unit: 3621

HTML documents including instructions therein, and wherein the transaction function device is operative to carry out the transaction function responsive to the browser processing at least one document including at least one instruction adapted to cause the computer to cause operation of the transaction function device (see col. 5, lines 44-54; col. 9, lines 8-14; col. 14, lines 49-54; col. 32, lines 48-57). Note A web browser software is installed in the computer (see col. 5, lines 50-53) for at least receiving financial services request. It is known that web browsers are software application used to locate and display web pages; hence, "processing HTML documents".

Referring to claims 2-6, Zeanah et al. disclose the system, wherein the transaction function device includes a sheet dispenser, card reader, printer, depository and keyboard (see col. 9, lines 8-14). Although, Zeanah et al. do not explicitly state that a keyboard is an element of the system, it is illustrated in figure 1, reference character 18. Also Zeanah et al. discloses a computer, and it is known that computers comprise keyboards (see col. 3, lines 60-61).

Referring to claim 8, Zeanah et al. disclose a computer; a browser operating in the computer (see col. 5, lines 44-53); a transaction function device in operative connection with the computer, wherein the transaction function device is operative to cause the ATM to carry out a transaction responsive to at least one HTML format document that is received by the browser (see col. 9, lines 8-14; col. 14, lines 49-54; col. 32, lines 48-57). Notice, the ATM includes a web browser (see col. 5, lines 50-53), it is known that web browsers are software application used to locate and display web pages (i.e. "HTML documents").

Referring to claim 9, Zeanah et al. disclose operating a browser in at least one computer in connection with an automated banking machine (see fig. 1, reference characters 18 and 16; col. 5, lines 44-53); notice, the computer is in connection with the ATM; receiving at least one

Art Unit: 3621

HTML format document with the browser, wherein the at least one HTML format document includes at least one transaction instruction; carrying out at least one transaction function with a transaction function device in the automated banking machine responsive to the at least one HTML format document (see col. 9, lines 8-14; col. 14, lines 49-54; col. 32, lines 48-57). Notice, the ATM includes a web browser (see col. 5, lines 50-53), it is known that web browsers are software application used to locate and display web pages (i.e. "HTML documents").

Referring to claim 10, Zeanah et al. disclose an automated banking machine including an output device in operative connection with the computer, and further comprising the step of producing an output through the output device responsive to the execution of at least one HTML format document (see col. 5, lines 50-53; col. 9, lines 8-14; col. 14, lines 49-54; col. 32, lines 48-57). Notice, the ATM includes a web browser (see col. 5, lines 50-53), it is known that web browsers are software application used to locate and display web pages (i.e. "HTML documents").

Referring to claim 11, Zeanah et al. disclose operating a browser in at least one computer in operative connection with an automated banking machine (see fig. 1, reference characters 18 and 16; col. 5, lines 44-53); notice, the computer is in operative connection with the ATM; receiving at least one document with the browser, wherein the document includes at least one transaction instruction embedded therein; carrying out at least one transaction function with a transaction function device in the automated banking machine responsive to the at least one document (see col. 9, lines 8-14; col. 14, lines 49-54; col. 32, lines 48-57).

Art Unit: 3621

Referring to claim 12, Zeanah et al. disclose an ATM that operates to conduct at least one financial transaction responsive to at least one mark-up language document (see col. 5, lines 44-53; col. 14, lines 49-54; col. 32, lines 48-57).

Referring to claim 13, Zeanah et al. disclose a computer in operative connection with the banking machine (see fig. 1, reference characters 18 and 16; col. 5, lines 44-53); notice, the computer is in operative connection with the ATM; at least one transaction function device in the banking machine adapted to carry out a least apportion of a banking transaction; wherein the computer is adapted to cause at least one banking transaction to be carried out through operation of the at least one transaction function device responsive to at least one mark up language (see col. 9, lines 8-14; col. 14, lines 49-54; col. 32, lines 48-57).

Referring to claim 16, Zeanah et al. disclose providing an automated banking machine including at least one transaction function device (see col. 5, lines 44-53; col. 9, lines 9-18), wherein the automated banking machine is in operative connection with at least one computer (see fig. 1, reference characters 18 and 16; col. 5, lines 44-53); notice, the computer is in operative connection with the ATM. Zeanah et al. also disclose processing at least one mark up language document with the computer, carrying out at least a portion of a banking transaction with the transaction function device responsive to processing the at least one mark up language document with the computer (see col. 5, lines 44-54; col. 9, lines 8-14;col. 14, lines 49-54; col. 32, lines 48-57). Note. A web browser software is installed in the computer (see col. 5, lines 50-53) for at least receiving financial services request. It is known that web browsers are software application used to locate and display web pages; hence, "processing HTML documents".

Art Unit: 3621

Referring to claim 22, Zeanah et al. disclose a banking machine including at least one output device, an further comprising the step of: producing an output through the output device responsive to the processing of at least one mark up language document with the computer (see col. 9, lines 8-14; col. 14, lines 49-54; col. 32, lines 48-57). Notice, the computer includes a web browser (see col. 5, lines 44-53), it is known that web browsers are software application used to locate and display web pages (i.e. "HTML documents").

Referring to claim 23, Zeanah et al. disclose the method, wherein the computer includes browser software, and wherein the at least one output is provided responsive to the browser software processing the at least one mark up language document (see col.9, lines 8-14; col. 14, lines 49-54; col. 32, lines 48-57). Notice, the computer includes a web browser (see col. 5, lines 44-53), it is known that web browsers are software application used to locate and display web pages (i.e. "HTML documents").

Referring to claim 24, Zeanah et al. disclose the method, wherein the output device includes a screen and wherein the at least one output includes a visual output through the screen (see col. 9, lines 8-14; col. 24, lines 39-46).

Referring to claim 25, Zeanah et al. disclose the method, wherein at least one HTML document is processed by the (see col. 14, lines 49-54;col. 32, lines 48-57). Note, the computer includes a web browser (see col. 5, lines 44-53); it is known that web browsers are software application used to locate and display web pages (i.e. "HTML documents").

Referring to claim 26, Zeanah et al. disclose a method, wherein the automated banking machine includes an output device, and wherein the step of processing the at lest one markup language document is operative to cause the computer to provide an output through the output

Application/Control Number: 09/193,787 Page 9

Art Unit: 3621

device and to carry out at lest the portion of the banking transaction (see col. 9, lines 8-14; col. 14, lines 49-54; col. 32, lines 48-57). Notice, the computer includes a web browser (see col. 5, lines 44-53), it is known that web browsers are software application used to locate and display web pages (i.e. "HTML documents").

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 10. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zeanah et al. as applied to claim1 above, and further in view of U.S. Patent No. 5905248 to Russell et al.

Zeanah et al. disclose a software (see col. 5, lines50-53). Zeanah et al. do not expressly disclose a software that is operative responsive to an instruction to access at least one HTTP record address (i.e. "URL"), wherein the at least one HTTP record address corresponds to at least one HTTP record (i.e. "HTML document") including instructions adapted to cause the computer to cause operation of the transaction function device. Russell et al. disclose a software that is operative responsive to an instruction to access at least one HTTP record address (i.e. "URL"), wherein the at least one HTTP record address corresponds to at least one HTTP record (i.e. "HTML document") including instructions adapted to cause the computer to cause operation of the transaction function device (see col. 2, lines 21-23, col. 3, lines 20-24 and col. 21, lines 43-45). At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary

Application/Control Number: 09/193,787 Page 10

Art Unit: 3621

skill in the art to modify the software disclose by Zeanah et al. wherein the software is operative responsive to an instruction to access a HTTP record address wherein the HTTP record address corresponds to a HTTP record including instructions adapted to cause the computer cause operation of the transaction function device. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this because it is allows the user to access the web to perform transactions; further, HTTP is the primary protocol used by the World Wide Web, which defines what actions web browsers should take in response to various commands.

11. Claim 14, 28 and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zeanah et al. as applied to claim 13 above, and further in view of Russell et al.

Zeanah et al. disclose a computer including a software (see col. 5, lines 44-53). Zeanah et al. do not disclose a computer including a document handling software. Russell et al. discloses a system wherein the computer includes document handling software, and wherein the computer is operative to carry out the at least one banking transaction responsive to the document handling software processing the at least one mark up language document (see col. 25, liens 34-40). At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the software disclose by Zeanah et al. to include a document handling software, and wherein the computer is operative to carry out the at least one banking transaction responsive to the document handling software processing the at least one mark up language document. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this because a document handling software manages a document file and enables performing various kinds of operations.

Art Unit: 3621

Referring to claim 28, Russell et al. disclose an apparatus, wherein the document handling software includes a browser, wherein the computer is adapted to automatically operate at least one transaction function device responsive to the processing of at least one mark up language document with the document handling software (see col. 6, lines 39-46 and col. 21, lines 43-45). At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to develop a method wherein the computer is operative to cause the carrying out of the banking transaction responsive to at least one software applet. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this because web browsers can interpret applets from web servers.

Referring to claim 30, Russell e al. discloses an apparatus, including a display device having a display screen (see col. 18, lines 12-20), wherein the computer includes document handling software wherein the computer is operative to automatically display at least one visual output through the display device responsive to processing at least one mark up language document with the computer (see col. 6, lines 39-46). At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the apparatus disclose by Zeanah et al. to include a display device having a display screen, wherein the computer includes document handling software wherein the computer is operative to automatically display at least one visual output through the display device responsive to processing at least one mark up language document with the computer. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this because it provides additional output.

Art Unit: 3621

12. Claim 29 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zeanah et al. and Russell et al. as applied to claim 28 above, and further in view of U.S. Patent No. 4337864 to McLean.

Zeanah et al. disclose an ATM having a currency dispenser (see col. 9, lines 9-18). However, Zeanah et al. do not expressly disclose the currency sheet dispensing at least one note. McLean discloses a method, wherein the transaction function device includes a currency sheet dispenser, and wherein the at least one banking transaction includes dispensing at least one from the currency sheet from the currency sheet dispenser (see col. 6, lines 66-68; col. 7, lines 1-4 and col. 8, line 37-38). At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the currency sheet dispenser disclose by Zeanah et al. to dispense at least on currency sheet. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this because it provides currency to the user.

13. Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zeanah et al. as applied to claim 13 above, and further in view of U.S. Patent No. 4337864 to McLean.

Zeanah et al. disclose an ATM having a cash dispenser (see col. 9, lines 9-18). However,
Zeanah et al. do not expressly disclose the note dispenser dispensing at least one note. McLean
discloses a method, wherein the transaction function device includes a note dispenser, and
wherein the at least one banking transaction includes dispensing at least one from the note
dispenser (see col. 6, lines 66-68; col. 7, lines 1-4 and col. 8, line 37-38). At the time the
invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify
the cash dispenser disclose by Zeanah et al. to dispense at least on note. One of ordinary skill in
the art would have been motivated to do this because it provides cash to the user.

Art Unit: 3621

14. Claims 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zeanah et al. as applied to claim 16 above, and further in view of McLean.

Zeanah et al. disclose an ATM having a cash dispenser (see col. 9, lines 9-18). However,
Zeanah et al. do not expressly disclose the note dispenser dispensing at least one note. McLean
discloses a method, wherein the transaction function device includes a note dispenser, and
wherein the at least one banking transaction includes dispensing at least one from the note
dispenser (see col. 6, lines 66-68; col. 7, lines 1-4 and col. 8, line 37-38). At the time the
invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify
the cash dispenser disclose by Zeanah et al. to dispense at lest on note. One of ordinary skill in
the art would have been motivated to do this because it provides cash to the user.

15. Claim 18-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zeanah et al. as applied to claim 16 above, and further in view of U.S. Patent No. 5436435 to McMillan.

Zeanah et al. discloses an ATM comprising a card reader. Zeanah et al. do not expressly disclose the card reader reading the indicia from the card or reading indicia with the reading device. McMillan discloses an ATM, wherein the transaction function device includes at least one reader device, wherein in the portion of the transaction includes reading indicia with the reading device and wherein the reading device includes a card reader, wherein the indicia is read from a card (see fig. 2; col. 4, lines 36-38). At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the card reader disclose by Zeanah et al. to read the indicia from a card. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this because this is an essential components an automated banking machine; that is, a card

Art Unit: 3621

reader device identifies the encrypted data imprinted on the card and provides additional security.

Referring to claim 20, McMillan discloses the ATM, wherein the transaction function device includes at least one key, and wherein the portion of the banking transaction includes sensing an input through the at least one key (see col. 4, lines 53-58). At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the method disclose by Zeanah et al. to include an the ATM, wherein the transaction function device includes at least one key, and wherein the portion of the banking transaction includes sensing an input through the at least one key. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this because it provides verification; thus, additional security.

Referring to claim 21, McMillan discloses the depository, wherein the portion of the banking transaction includes receiving a deposit with the depository (see col. 4, lines 7-9). At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the method disclose by Zeanah et al. to include the depository, wherein the portion of the banking transaction includes receiving a deposit with the depository. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this because it provides convenience.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jalatee Worjloh whose telephone number is 703-305-0057. The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays-Thursdays 8:30 - 7:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Trammell can be reached on 703-305-9768. The fax phone numbers for the



Art Unit: 3621

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-7687 for regular communications and 703-305-7687 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1113.

Any response to this action should be mailed to: Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks, Washington, DC 20231.

Hand delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park 5, 2451 Crystal Drive, Arlington, V.A., Seventh floor receptionist.

September 9, 2002

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600